Original Article

# Policymakers' Abortion Preferences: Understanding the Intersection of Gender and Wealth

Comparative Political Studies 2024, Vol. 0(0) I–44 © The Author(s) 2024 © ① ⑤

Article reuse guidelines: sagepub.com/journals-permissions DOI: 10.1177/00104140241237452 journals.sagepub.com/home/cps



Leonardo R. Arriola<sup>1,2</sup>, Donghyun Danny Choi<sup>3</sup>, Justine M. Davis<sup>4</sup><sup>0</sup>, Melanie L. Phillips<sup>1</sup><sup>0</sup>, and Lise Rakner<sup>2,5</sup>

#### Abstract

When are politicians willing to liberalize abortion laws? While restricted access to legal abortion affects millions of women around the world, there is relatively little understanding of the factors shaping the views of politicians who craft or uphold such restrictive laws. This study examines the impact of a public health framing commonly employed by activists to persuade politicians to reform abortion laws. We provide evidence that politicians' preferences toward abortion reforms are shaped by the intersection of gender and wealth. Drawing on a survey experiment conducted among more than 600 politicians in Zambia, we show that only women politicians from less wealthy backgrounds are more likely to support policy liberalization after being exposed to a public health framing. These findings underscore how economic

<sup>3</sup>Brown University, Providence RI, USA

#### **Corresponding Author:**

Data Availability Statement included at the end of the article

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>University of California Berkeley, Berkeley, CA, USA

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Chr. Michelsen Institute, Bergen, Norway

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Universitetet i Bergen, Bergen, Norway

Melanie L. Phillips, Department of Political Science, University of California Berkeley, 210 Social Sciences Building, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA. Email: melaniephillips@berkeley.edu

inequalities can affect the substantive representation of women's interests and provide a baseline for further research on the use of framing strategies in other developing country contexts.

#### Keywords

abortion, gender, intersectionality, African politics, reproductive rights, experimental research, class

Women across the Global South face a public health crisis due to extensive restrictions on reproductive rights. Nearly half of all abortions carried out in developing countries are classified as unsafe: where a pregnancy is terminated by an unqualified individual or in conditions that do not conform to minimal medical standards (Ganatra et al., 2017). Such unsafe abortions are a leading contributor to maternal mortality, according to the World Health Organization, with nearly all of those deaths occurring in developing countries (Gerdts et al., 2015; Latt et al., 2019). Although women are as likely to seek an abortion where it is prohibited as where it is available upon request (Sedgh et al., 2016), abortion-related deaths are systematically higher in countries that legally restrict abortion access (Latt et al., 2019). Even when women survive unsafe abortions, they can go on to suffer long-term complications such as infertility, chronic gynecological problems, or other disabilities (Faúndes & Shah, 2015; Warriner, 2006).

Providing access to safe abortion involves medical and religious issues, but it is ultimately a political question. It is through the politics of elections, legislation, and regulation that abortion is made available to women, whether permitted without restriction, in exceptional cases of rape and incest, or only when required to save the life of a woman, if at all. Given the fundamental role of politicians in making abortion access legal and safe, we examine in this paper whether and how politicians adapt their policy preferences toward abortion liberalization.

Relatively little is known about the factors that influence politicians' views of abortion policy. Although there has been extensive research on general attitudes toward abortion (Abramowitz, 1995; Cook, 2019; Jelen & Wilcox, 2003), nearly all of this work is based on average citizens, not those directly involved in policymaking. Moreover, there is no consensus in survey-based work on what underpins abortion policy preferences or causes them to change. Early findings regarding factors such as gender (Patel & Johns, 2009), religion (Jelen, 2014), and education (Jelen & Wilcox, 2003) have either been overturned over time or pared back considerably. This has resulted in an acute gap in our knowledge about the biases or heuristics that may play into abortion policymaking (Linde & Vis, 2017; Sheffer et al., 2018).

Focusing on how abortion is framed in public discourse offers one fruitful path for understanding potential shifts in politicians' policy preferences. Noteworthy instances of abortion decriminalization around the world have often followed activists' efforts to reframe abortion as a social justice issue that disproportionately affects the health of poor women. In Argentina, for example, activists were able to partner with legislators to bring about greater access to legal abortion after pivoting to emphasize the dangers that illicit abortions create for poor women in an unequal society (Anderson, 2022; Daby & Moseley, 2022; Lopreite, 2023). Activists have been able to promote similar reforms in countries as varied as Ethiopia (Holcombe & Kidanemariam Gebru, 2022), Mexico (Sánchez Fuentes et al., 2008), and Nepal (Shakya et al., 2004) by framing abortion as a public health issue that directly links the prevalence of maternal mortality among poor women to the legal restrictions that lead them to rely on clandestine, unsafe procedures. Yet, while the reframing of abortion as a public health issue appears to precede liberalizing reforms in many countries, it remains unclear to what extent such a framing strategy actually persuades politicians to change their policy positions. Can the provision of fact-based information about the toll of unsafe abortion cause politicians to support liberalizing reforms?

Framing abortion as a public health issue is likely to resonate with women politicians in particular. Women politicians frequently assume a leading role in advocating for the expansion of reproductive rights within legislatures in order to improve women's overall wellbeing (Berkman & O'Connor, 1993; Levy et al., 2001; Sawer, 2012). The likelihood of abortion legalization is, in fact, correlated with the proportion of women elected to legislatures (Asal et al., 2008; Budde & Heichel, 2017). Yet, while there is a relationship between women's descriptive representation in elected office and the expansion of reproductive rights, other demographic and sociological factors are likely to influence whether women politicians will support the adoption of abortion policies.

We argue that a woman politician's personal wealth will affect her stance on abortion liberalization. Drawing on prior research showing that class distinctions affect women's substantive representation (Blofield, 2008, 2013; Htun & Power, 2006; Htun & Weldon, 2010), we posit that a woman politician's wealth will condition her receptivity to a public health framing emphasizing the risks associated with unsafe abortion. Such a framing may well persuade a woman politician from a middle-class or working-class background because she will intuitively understand how a lack of resources can limit family planning options. By contrast, a woman politician from a wealthy background may be less persuaded by a public health framing; the issue is simply less immediate for her because her family planning options are largely unconstrained by legal or medical restrictions. We have no such expectations for men politicians in this regard since they usually do not bear the same personal costs associated with pregnancy (e.g., childbirth risks).

To assess how politicians' attitudes toward abortion liberalization might be influenced by a public health framing, we leverage a survey experiment conducted among more than 600 politicians who competed for national and local offices across Zambia. Abortion has been legal in Zambia under a range of conditions for several decades, but the law also required women to obtain the approval of three doctors—a requirement that few poor women could meet. Access to safe abortion in Zambia has therefore been extremely limited. We used a survey experiment to randomly expose half of our politician sample to the kind of public health framing employed by reform activists: politicians in the control group were asked if they would consider reducing the number of doctors required to approve a woman's legal abortion in Zambia from three to one, while politicians in the treatment group were additionally presented with a framing that informed them that unsafe abortions account for 30 percent of maternal deaths in the country.

Our survey-based findings are consistent with earlier scholarship in showing that women politicians in Zambia are systematically more likely than men politicians to support liberalizing abortion policy even after accounting for factors such as education and religion. But the framing experiment further reveals that only a subset of women politicians liberalize their views on abortion policy after being informed of the public health costs in terms of maternal mortality. Women politicians who run for local office, though initially holding more conservative views on average, are the most likely to shift their policy preferences after being exposed to the public health framing. We provide suggestive evidence that local politicians are more likely to update their abortion policy preferences because they are economically distinct from their counterparts who compete for national office. Women politicians at the local level have significantly less personal wealth compared to women politicians at the national level. We interpret this economic distinction as a reflection of underlying differences in their relative abilities (or those of their constituents) to navigate alternative family planning options, such as access to private clinics that offer abortion services, along with the lived experiences associated with those options.

This study's findings contribute to research on how public policies affect women's well-being. While scholars have recognized the dearth of comparative studies focused on the gendered impact of policies (Tripp, 2006), our state of knowledge remains unrepresentative because we continue to draw inferences from studies drawn from a relatively small set of countries that tend to be established democracies or economically developed. In this respect, this study helps to expand understanding of how institutions (Beer, 2017; Stetson, 2001) and identities (Htun et al., 2013; Luna, 2017) can interact to shape policy outcomes critical to women's health under more challenging

conditions. Our findings, though drawn from the Zambian case, can be generalized to a number of African countries where women continue to face among the highest rates of maternal mortality in the world (Phiri et al., 2020) at the same time that they have the least access to safe abortion.<sup>1</sup> To our knowledge, this is the first empirical study in Africa that examines policy-makers' self-reported attitudes to gauge their willingness to adopt abortion policy reforms aimed at improving public health conditions for women.

Our study also contributes to the study of women's substantive representation more generally (Beckwith & Cowell-Meyers, 2007; Celis et al., 2008; Childs & Krook, 2009). The fundamental experiences that women politicians share with other women in society often lead them to advocate for policies aimed at benefiting women's interests (Cowell-Meyers & Langbein, 2009; Schwindt- Bayer, 2006; Taylor-Robinson & Heath, 2003; Wängnerud, 2009), though there is growing recognition that gender can intersect with other social cleavages to limit the scope for substantive representation (Blofield & Ewig, 2017; Luna & Luker, 2013). Our study complements earlier scholarship by providing nuanced empirical evidence that the economic background and work history (Kitschelt & Rehm, 2014) of elected representatives matters not only for explicitly economic policies but also potentially influences how they view public health policies. Given the continued underrepresentation of women in political power, particularly in the Global South, our findings are relevant for countries in which the few women who do attain office may not necessarily reflect the experiences of the majority of women. This demographic mismatch, in socioeconomic terms, may well have consequences for policymaking that have yet to be fully understood in societies that are becoming increasingly unequal (Carnes & Lupu, 2023).

# What Factors Shape Politicians' Abortion Policy Preferences?

The large literature on public attitudes toward abortion provides a starting point for identifying the relevant demographic factors that influence how politicians respond to distinct abortion policy framings. These demographic factors have the potential not only to shape politicians' attitudes toward abortion policy but also how they might interpret new information about this issue area. We summarize the logic for specific attitudinal claims along with their testable hypotheses.

#### Gender

There is no consensus among the studies examining gender-based attitudes toward abortion policy (Patel & Johns, 2009). Some studies find that women are, as a group, more likely to support legalization (Barkan, 2014; Lizotte, 2015;

Westfall, Kallail and Walling, 1991), others show that men tend to be more supportive (Moore & Stief, 1991; Wright & Rogers, 1987), and others find no relationship at all (Betzig & Lombardo, 1992; Szafran & Clagett, 1988). Given the documented role of women's advocacy on behalf of legalization (Kreitzer, 2015), we expect women politicians to be more likely to support abortion liberalization.<sup>2</sup>

#### Marriage

Attitudes toward abortion legalization may be conditioned by marital status. As an institution that has socio-cultural, religious, and legal elements, marriage may induce women to develop preferences connected to their roles as wives and mothers (Luker, 1984). Married women's abortion policy preferences are therefore likely to be shaped by the fact that they must make joint decisions — and possibly disagree — with male partners over family planning, raising questions about the number of children to have (Chiappori et al., 1992; Miller & Valente, 2016). Empirically, married men typically prefer having more children than married women (Doepke & Tertilt, 2018; Westoff et al., 2010).<sup>3</sup> When disagreement over childbearing preferences arise within a marriage, actual fertility outcomes are likely to depend on the extent to which a woman can exercise bodily autonomy. In countries where women generally have fewer rights, for example, the number of children born to a couple tend to correlate with men's childbearing preferences (Doepke & Tertilt, 2018). Given such dynamics, we generally expect married politicians to express less support for abortion liberalization.

#### Religion

Research shows that religious identities most consistently shape abortion policy preferences. Communities dominated by religious traditions that hold conservative Christian views on abortion tend to support restrictive regulations (e.g., Catholic and Evangelical) (McVeigh et al., 2017). Highly religious people tend to be especially opposed to abortion as a matter of principle (Jelen, 1993; Jelen & Wilcox, 2003; Lynxwiler, 1999; Minkenberg, 2002; Steensland et al., 2000), though there are differences across denominations. Active practitioners of their faiths tend to be the most opposed (Bartkowski et al., 2012; Hoffmann & Johnson, 2005). While studies of abortion attitudes generally distinguish the religious from the non-religious regardless of gender (Campbell et al., 2018; Lizotte, 2015), religious beliefs may differentially influence women's policy views if they are, in fact, more religious than men (Cassese & Holman, 2016; Holman et al., 2020; Kaufmann & Petrocik, 1999). We expect politicians from conservative religious backgrounds to be less likely to support abortion liberalization.

#### Partisanship

Ideologically-driven partisanship can play a prominent role in shaping individual stances on abortion policy. Conservative or right-of-center parties often espouse anti-abortion positions through platforms emphasizing traditional family values. In the United States, for example, as the Republican Party came to rely on the support of outside religious interest groups from the 1980s onwards, its candidates and voters became increasingly opposed to abortion (Carmines et al., 2010; Karol & Thurston, 2020). By contrast, liberal or leftof-center parties typically advocate for abortion rights through platforms stressing equality of the sexes before the law. The likelihood of liberal parties consistently advocating for abortion liberalization has depended on whether they are aligned with feminist movements as well their degree of institutionalization (Blofield, 2008; Blofield & Ewig, 2017). However, while these partisan differences may consistently structure abortion politics in established democracies (Budde et al., 2018), parties in many late democratizing countries tend to lack the ideological roots required to activate abortion as a partisan cleavage (Elischer, 2013). Nevertheless, in general, we expect politicians from liberal or left-of-center parties to be more likely to support abortion liberalization.

#### Education

Higher education levels have long been associated with greater support for legalized abortion among both women and men (Patel & Johns, 2009; Wang & Buffalo, 2004). However, at least in the United States, the correlation between education and support for legal abortion has declined over time, particularly among conservative voters (Jelen & Wilcox, 2003). Beyond education, having knowledge about abortion procedures and regulations has been found to be significantly associated with greater support for access to legal abortion (Esposito & Basow, 1995). In some contexts, greater information about abortion, including its associated motivations and consequences, may not necessarily lead to increased support for liberalizing policy (Bernas & Stein, 2001; Hunt et al., 2022). Nevertheless, on net, we expect politicians with greater education to be more likely to support abortion liberalization.

#### Ethnicity

Ethnic identity could play a role in shaping abortion policy preferences in diverse societies. Early studies from the United States indicated that there were race-based differences with African Americans being less supportive of legal abortion (Craig & O'Brien, 1993; Secret, 1987). However, later research suggests a reversal in abortion attitudes among different groups with African

Americans reporting greater support (Lynxwiler, 1999; Strickler & Danigelis, 2002). More recent studies have questioned race or ethnic-specific explanations for abortion attitudes, as such identities begin to disappear as consistent predictors by the late 1990s (Bolzendahl & Myers, 2004; Wilcox, 2000). In Ghana and Nigeria, for example, religiosity rather than ethnicity is a more powerful predictor of abortion attitudes (Adisah-Atta and Dim, 2019). We therefore remain agnostic as to whether ethnic identity conditions a politician's support for abortion liberalization.

With the exception of gender, most of the explanations summarized above do not provide a sufficiently compelling account for why or how a politician might shift their policy position in response to abortion-related information that is either new or simply made salient. In the next section, we turn to examining why wealth is a particularly powerful demographic factor that filters how politicians interpret policy frames that stress the personal toll of abortion restrictions.

#### How Wealth Affects Politicians' Responses to Abortion

Activists seeking to change abortion laws around the world have strategically experimented with varied policy framings to persuade politicians to change their positions on liberalizing access. In reframing the issue away from traditional debates over moral conceptions or religious principles (Burns, 2005; Ferree, 2002), activists have specifically employed rhetoric that emphasizes the life-threatening consequences of existing restrictions for women (Daby & Moseley, 2022; Encarnación, 2022; Lopreite, 2023). This reframing of abortion as a medical procedure that is disproportionately denied to poor women is meant to educate politicians about the realities faced by women with the aim of making them more amenable to supporting legislative reforms that might be described—less controversially—as protecting women's welfare. Yet, it remains unclear to what extent a public health framing resonates among the politicians targeted for persuasion, or if only a distinct subset are likely to be receptive to such messaging.

While numerous factors might determine a politician's receptiveness to a public health framing of abortion, particularly those reviewed in the previous section, we argue that a politician's economic background plays a critical role in shaping whether and how they update their preferences in a policy context where their wealth may condition their personal experience. Prior scholarship shows that politicians from different economic backgrounds not only bring different preferences to office (Barnes et al., 2021; Carnes & Lupu, 2023), but those preferences also apply to a wide range of social welfare policies with politicians from white-collar backgrounds consistently holding more conservative views (Carnes & Lupu, 2015). Extending these insights, we contend that the personal wealth of politicians plays an outsized role in shaping their

attitudes toward abortion policy. Because access to resources has a direct impact on whether women can secure safe reproductive services, especially in developing countries with high rates of socioeconomic inequality, we expect the views of women politicians toward abortion policy to be shaped by their own economic resources. Whether a woman politician responds to a public health framing will thus depend on her wealth.

In many countries of the Global South, where persistent economic inequalities are often normalized, women politicians from different socioeconomic strata can have parallel lives that offer completely different experiences with the structural obstacles limiting bodily autonomy. Women politicians from higher socioeconomic strata typically have independent access to resources and are therefore less constrained by legal regulations that might otherwise limit their reproductive choices (e.g., going to a private clinic that offers services that cannot be secured at a public hospital). By contrast, women who enter politics from lower socioeconomic strata are more likely to personally know, or have family or friends who know, what it is like for family planning options to be limited by financial constraints. In this respect, an abortion policy frame that stresses public health will tend to resonate with women politicians from lower socioeconomic strata because it speaks to a problem (i.e., maternal mortality) that is a part of everyday life among their constituents.

At the individual level, our theoretical intuition is consistent with studies of sexual negotiation showing that women are more likely to exercise autonomy when they control their own resources. A woman with her own income acquires greater bargaining power when negotiating over fertility. In Uganda, Wolff et al. (2000) find that women with access to cash-based work can feel more empowered to negotiate with their partners over sex. In Ghana, Tenkorang (2012) shows that wealthier women are more likely to report that they can ask husbands to use condoms or to refuse sexual intercourse. In South Africa, Hallman (2004) finds that wealth is a consistent predictor of sexual experience among young women: relative wealth decreases a woman's odds of experiencing coerced sex, using a condom with her last partner, and, crucially, communicating about sensitive topics with most recent sexual partner. Poor women in Malawi are far less likely to report using modern contraception in comparison to wealthy women (Adebowale et al., 2014).

The available research further shows that resources directly influence a woman's ability to choose a preferred contraceptive strategy. Women who can acquire and spend their own money are more likely to exercise a greater range of options for managing family planning, whether prior to the onset of pregnancy or afterward (Pop-Eleches, 2010). Wealth, in addition to increasing a women's control over their bodily autonomy, provides access to alternative forms of contraception that allow for greater flexibility in

family planning (Adebowale et al., 2014). Consider, for instance, that condom usage requires negotiation with sexual partners, but other forms of contraception can be used solely at the discretion of a woman who can afford them. In Nigeria, wealthier women are more likely to use injectable forms of birth control that are notable for their discretion and long-term effectiveness (Adebowale et al., 2016). Wealth specifically affects women's ability to access safe abortions. In countries like Ghana (Sundaram et al., 2012) and Zambia (Leone et al., 2016), wealthier women are more likely to access safe abortion in comparison to poor women, who generally rely on unsafe abortion.

At a political level, our theoretical expectations are consistent with Htun (2003)'s study of abortion policy in Latin America, where elite reformers originally had little incentive to advocate for liberalization as long as middleclass women could access safe abortion in private clinics. In contrast to divorce — an issue area that cuts across class lines — she finds that abortion advocacy was largely conducted by feminist activists concerned with social justice for poor women. This class-based distinction can be generalized to a broader insight from Htun et al. (2013, p. 98): "When women act to promote women's rights, it is because they are reacting to disadvantages, not because all women share the same interests."

Blofield (2013)'s comparative study of Argentina, Chile, and Spain provides additional evidence by revealing how persistent social inequalities inhibit the emergence of the reformist coalitions needed to reframe abortion as a public health or women's rights issue. Blofield (2013, p. 61) shows through her case studies how inequality can have "a corrosive effect" on cross-class mobilization. Middle-class women have little incentive to work with others toward reform as long as they can secure safe abortions through clandestine clinics. Abortion thus becomes the problem of poor women.

Similarly, Karol and Thurston (2020) show that the abortion policy attitudes of individual politicians in the United States can be shaped by personal background characteristics rather than partisan or constituency cues. In studying the mid-twentieth century voting records of California legislators, they find that politicians may default to their own personal views on an issue when they cannot readily ascertain those of their constituents. Politicians appear to give more weight to the views of constituents with whom they share identifiable traits, such as gender and class.

Drawing on such insights leads us to examine the following hypothesis: women politicians with less wealth should be more likely to support liberalizing access to safe abortion—after being informed of the human toll associated with unsafe abortion. We expect no such updating among men politicians because they do not have to consider the same risks as women, namely, unwanted pregnancy, the cost of legal abortion, or the risk of unsafe abortion.

# Constrained Economic Access to Legal Abortion in Zambia

We focus empirically on Zambia to assess how politicians might respond to a reframing of abortion policy. Zambia is relevant to comparative research on abortion policy because the various legal restrictions imposed on accessing this medical procedure are comparable to those faced by women in countries across the Global South. As illustrated in Figure 1, whereas abortion tends to be available upon request in countries that are either established democracies or economically developed, there are a range of restrictions on abortion in most countries of Africa, Latin America, the Middle East, and South and Southeast Asia. In this respect, when politicians in Zambia deliberate over abortion policy, they do so under legal restrictions shared by many other countries.

Zambia's legal framework for abortion has historically been more constraining for women than what actually appears on paper. The Termination of Pregnancy Act (1972) ostensibly allows for abortions to be performed by registered medical practitioners when pregnancies involve a risk to the life of the woman, her physical or mental health, or to any of her existing children (Coast & Murray, 2016; Leone et al., 2016).<sup>4</sup> But access to safe abortion has historically been unattainable for most women in the country. Haaland et al. (2019) point out that the benefits of the 1972 law are offset by severe penalties: the criminal code imposes up to seven years in prison for those who illegally



Figure 1. Abortion laws around the world.

provide abortion services, and up to 14 years for women who procure illegal abortions or anyone who assists them.

The politics surrounding abortion in Zambia also make this country study comparable to many other countries in the Global South. The dominance of Christianity in Zambia's public life-the country recognizes itself as a Christian nation in the constitution-limits how the issue is debated, particularly since the Catholic Church and Pentecostal churches actively oppose its legal status (Hinfelaar, 2011). While a proposed bill of rights that included a constitutional amendment stating that "life begins at conception" momentarily reignited political debate over abortion (Haaland et al., 2019), none of the country's major parties is associated with a distinctive position on abortion rights. In this respect, Zambian parties are like many other parties across Africa and other late democratizing countries in that they lack ideological differences that translate into clear-cut partisan or programmatic cleavages (Elischer, 2013). In fact, we find no evidence of systematic party-level differences in Zambia regarding abortion. As shown in Appendix Figure A3, there are negligible differences in the average abortion policy preference between members of the country's major parties. What this has meant, in terms of policymaking, is that activists in Zambia have lacked the institutionalized party ally required to place abortion reform on the agenda, mobilize popular support, and enact the necessary legislation (Blofield & Ewig, 2017).

Zambia's legal framework, when combined with prevailing socioeconomic and political realities, makes access to safe abortion almost unattainable for women at lower income levels (Blystad et al., 2019; Munakampe, Zulu and Michelo, 2018). Women who wish to terminate a pregnancy, particularly poor women, frequently turn to unsafe methods or unqualified service providers (Macha et al., 2014; Owolabi et al., 2017). Treating the complications associated with unsafe abortion in Zambia costs approximately \$ 1.4 million per year (Parmar et al., 2017). Official estimates from Zambia's health authorities indicate that 30–50 percent of all acute gynecological admissions are due to abortion-related complications (Likwa et al., 2009). Some 6,000 Zambian women die of abortion complications each year due to unsafe procedures (Demographic, 2014). As a result, 30 percent of all maternal deaths in Zambia are related to unsafe abortion (Cresswell et al., 2016; of Health, 2011).

The apparent disconnect between the legal framework and the medical realities faced by Zambian women must be understood in light of a deficient national health system. With only about 60 obstetricians/gynecologists serving a population of 17 million (GRZ, 2017), access to reproductive health services is severely limited. The scarcity of qualified doctors and health facilities across the country, particularly in rural areas, is a structural barrier to women's access to safe and legal abortion. This scarcity has been exacerbated over time by a legal requirement for three doctors' signatures to authorize an abortion, including one signature from an obstetrician gynecologist.<sup>5</sup> This

requirement was revised in 2017 to just one doctor (GRZ, 2017). Nevertheless, given the persistent lack of medical resources, many women have turned to unsafe alternatives despite abortion's legal status (Halwiindi et al., 2016).

In this context, we contend that wealth plays a pivotal role in shaping the experiences of women – both citizens and politicians – with reproductive care. For many Zambian women, the economic costs associated with obtaining an abortion simply make the medical procedure inaccessible, legally and financially. Government hospitals, for example, are supposed to offer abortion services at no cost,<sup>6</sup> but the actual costs vary considerably across government hospitals as well as private clinics. In Table 1, we report the costs associated with abortion services across a sample of hospitals and clinics in Zambia. Among the government hospitals we contacted, most women would be expected to pay anywhere between K25 and K3,000 (\$1.70 - \$204). The costs among the private clinics we sampled range from K20 and K1,600 (\$1.36 - \$109). All of these projected costs are likely to be conservative estimates since prior research suggests that patients are often required to make unofficial payments for medical services in the Zambian health sector (García-Prado & Gonzalez, 2007; McCoy et al., 2008). Further increasing costs, nearly all of

Hospital type	Range of actual cost	Mandatory deposit	Spouse consent required
Government hospital	Free	None	No
Government hospital	Free	None	Yes
Government hospital	K25 - K285	K100	No
Government hospital	K50	None	No
Government hospital	K100	None	No
Government hospital	K100	K3,000	Yes
Government hospital	K100	K3,000	No
Government hospital	KI,000- K3,000	K3,000	Yes
Private clinic	K20	K600	Yes
Private clinic	K500 - K2,000	None	No
Private clinic	K600 - KI,100	None	No
Private clinic	K800 - K1,200	None	No
Private clinic	KI,500 - K2,500	K350	Yes
Private clinic	K1,600	KI,600 - K3,000	No

Table 1. Estimated Costs for Abortion Services at Zambian Hospitals and Clinics.

Notes. Our research team contacted each hospital and clinic to ask the same set of questions regarding the costs and requirements for securing a legal abortion in 2019. Zambia kwacha converted at the prevailing rate of 14.7 kwacha to the U.S. dollar in 2019.

the hospitals and clinics we contacted required multiple visits, thereby taking women away from work and adding potential childcare costs.

The costs listed in Table 1 suggest that an abortion would pose a considerable economic hardship for the average Zambian woman. Among the eight government hospitals in our sample, the average minimum cost for securing an abortion would be K172 or almost \$12. Such a cost would represent over 27 percent of monthly wages for a Zambian woman who is a domestic worker or about 16 percent of monthly wages for a woman who is a cleaner or general worker.<sup>7</sup> The average minimum cost is five times higher among the private clinics. For the hypothetical Zambian woman who is a domestic worker, the cost at a private clinic would amount to 132 percent of her monthly wages. The woman who is a general worker or cleaner would pay nearly 80 percent of her monthly wages. Table 1 further reveals that Zambian women must pay a cost to navigate a health system in which accurate information is difficult to come by.<sup>8</sup> While Zambian law does not require spousal consent for an abortion, the hospitals and clinics we contacted provided inconsistent information. Three of the eight government hospitals claimed that spousal consent was required, as did two of the six private clinics. Indeed, among the private clinics, the minimum average cost of accessing an abortion is more expensive at the clinics that do not require spousal consent (K875) than those requiring such consent (K760). In other instances, the medical personnel we reached provided inaccurate information by claiming that abortions could only be performed for limited medical reasons or rape. Consequently, a woman seeking to retain personal control over her fertility would potentially need to pay the cost associated with contacting multiple hospitals or clinics before arriving at one that might give her access to a legal procedure.

The question remains whether the abortion policy attitudes of Zambian politicians are affected by the economic realities described above. As in many other developing country contexts, it remains unknown whether those directly involved in policymaking are willing to support abortion liberalization when exposed to the human toll associated with the conditions of unsafe abortion.

#### **Research Design**

To assess how politicians might respond to a public health framing of abortion, we surveyed a sample of Zambian politicians who competed in the country's 2016 general elections. Our sample includes candidates who competed for parliamentary seats in the National Assembly as well as ward councilor seats at the local level across the country. Parliamentary candidates are directly relevant to the study of abortion policymaking since they would be expected to vote on any national legislation pertaining to the existing abortion law or related portions of the criminal code. Ward councilor candidates are also relevant actors in policymaking because they are frequently local party leaders and members who hold offices within their partisan organizations, such as the chair of a district party branch. These local politicians inform the development of party platforms as well as the selection of parliamentary candidates. One measure of their influence can be seen in the fact that ward councilors control the first stage of parliamentary candidate selection, and parties typically field the candidates that their local-level selection committees initially endorse (Phillips, 2022).

We sampled parliamentary candidates across 156 single-member constituencies as well as ward councilor candidates in the districts encompassing Zambia's ten provincial capitals.<sup>9</sup> Among parliamentary and councilor candidates, we sampled both winners and first losers. We included first-losers in the sample to ensure that our understanding of politician preferences over issues was not driven by their status as winners. The decision to include only first-losers was made based on our analysis of electoral data indicating that Zambian elections are predominantly two-person contests. Any candidate beyond the first loser typically receives only a small fraction of the vote share and is thus unlikely to be representative of the viable candidates who go on to become policymakers.

Our sample reflects the real distribution of candidates with respect to both gender and tier of office. Women are severely underrepresented in Zambian politics (Arriola, Phillips and Rakner, 2021): At the time of our study, women made up less than 10 percent of all ward councilor candidates and 20 percent of MP candidates. In 2016, only 25 women MPs were elected, constituting 16% of all MPs. Our sample—only around 12 percent of which are women for both tiers of office— thus reflects the under-representation of women in Zambian politics rather than a systematic undersampling of women from the population of political candidates. We believe inferences drawn on our sample should therefore be representative of the true distribution of policymakers' abortion preferences as well as differences in these preferences across men and women. Moreover, since we sampled from the top-two vote-getters in national and local races, the women in our survey are likely to be truly representative of the type of woman who can compete in a male-dominated electoral arena. In this respect, attempting to over-sample women would have potentially introduced noise in our analysis by adding women who would not necessarily be representative of those who actually go on to become elected officials.

To implement the survey, a research team of Zambian enumerators contacted potential respondents to conduct in-person interviews in Lusaka, the national capital, and in each of the ten provincial capitals. The survey was conducted from March to June 2017. The final sample includes 209 parliamentary candidates and 413 councilor candidates for a total of 622; seventy-

	Mean	Min	Max	SD	N
Woman	0.12	0	I	0.33	633
Married	0.86	0	I	0.34	629
Religion					
Catholic	0.15	0	I	0.36	631
Christian	0.07	0	I	0.26	631
Evangelical	0.19	0	I	0.39	631
Ethnicity					
Bemba	0.31	0	I	0.46	648
Lala	0.03	0	I	0.16	648
Lozi	0.21	0	I	0.40	648
Ngoni	0.08	0	I	0.28	648
Nyanja	0.12	0	I	0.32	648
Tonga	0.16	0	I	0.37	648
Ruling party	0.52	0	I	0.50	628
Asset index	0.56	0	I	0.29	648
Parliamentary candidate	0.34	0	I	0.47	648
College	0.50	0	I	0.50	629
Women's organization	0.25	0	I	0.43	614
Age	43.89	20	70	9.06	621
Prior electoral experience	1.40	0	12	0.98	648

Table 2. Descriptive Statistics.

four of these candidates were women. The full sample's demographics are listed in Table 2.

The majority of politicians in our sample are married (86 percent), and the most common religious affiliations are Evangelical (19 percent) and Catholic (15 percent). The sample includes the country's main ethnic groups: Bemba (31 percent), Lozi (21 percent), Ngoni (9 percent), Nyanja (12 percent), and Tonga (17 percent). The sample is almost evenly split between ruling (52 percent) and opposition (48 percent) party members. About 34 percent were candidates for parliament, while the remaining 66 percent were candidates for ward councils. The average respondent's previous electoral experience was running in 1.4 previous elections. Half the sample has a college degree and 24 percent claim membership in women's organizations.

The survey's design allows us to take a two-pronged approach to analyzing abortion-related preferences among Zambian politicians. First, we conduct an observational analysis to investigate the correlates of baseline attitudes toward abortion policy. Second, we assess whether a simple treatment that highlights the consequences of unsafe abortions on maternal mortality can persuade politicians to support policies that make it easier for women to receive an abortion.<sup>10</sup>

#### Observational Analysis of Baseline Attitudes

To provide a baseline understanding of the correlates of abortion-related attitudes, we employ ordered logistic regression analysis using a survey outcome that was asked to all politicians without (and prior to) experimental manipulation: *Abortion is currently legal in Zambia when necessary to save a woman's life or to protect the well-being of her children. Do you think it should be made more restricted, less restricted, or stay the same?* Responses to this question were coded trichotomously: more restricted, stay the same, or less restricted. This outcome serves as the first dependent variable discussed in the empirical analysis.

# Experimental Analysis of Public Health Framing

We embedded a short vignette experiment in the survey to explore the effects of a public health framing treatment on politicians' attitudes toward abortion liberalization. We draw inspiration from prior studies which show that policymakers often update their policy preferences based on evidence-based information (Daby & Moseley, 2022; Lee, 2022) that highlights the costs associated with unsafe abortions (Lopreite, 2012). For our study, the framing treatment specifically highlighted the consequences of unsafe abortion on maternal mortality in Zambia. We then proceeded to ask whether the respondent would support liberalizing abortion policies by decreasing the number of required signatures. At the point at which the survey was conducted, a bill that sought to liberalize abortion policy was under consideration by the Zambian parliament but had not yet been voted on.<sup>11</sup> We randomized whether respondents were assigned to the treatment or the control condition. The script for the experimental treatment is presented in Table 3.

Immediately following the short treatment vignette, we asked policymakers whether they agreed or disagreed with the proposal using a 7-point Likert scale ranging from completely agree (7) to completely disagree (1). Our

Control Condition	Treatment Condition
<b>Text</b> : There is a proposal to reduce the number of doctors required to approve a woman's legal abortion from three to one.	Text: Unsafe abortions account for 30 percent of all maternal deaths in Zambia. For this reason, there is a proposal to reduce the number of doctors required to approve a woman's legal abortion from three to one.
<b>Question</b> : Do you agree or disagree with this proposal?	<b>Question</b> : Do you agree or disagree with this proposal?

Table 3.	Experimental	Treatment	Script
----------	--------------	-----------	--------

treatment and control group comparisons are conducted on this outcome using simple difference-in-means tests. Since we are interested in understanding how politicians' demographic characteristics moderate the treatment effects, we present the treatment effects disaggregated by gender and other relevant factors. In providing tests of potential moderating mechanisms, we use variables included in the survey analysis such as marital status, education, tier of office contested, and an asset index. These are interacted with the treatment indicator in OLS regression models.

Balance diagnostics, presented in Table A1 in the appendix, lend credence to the inferences we can draw from the analysis of the survey experiment. Treated and control respondents are comparable across a wide range of demographic, political, and economic variables. Some minor exceptions include the proportion of politicians from the Tonga ethnic group (6 percentage points higher in the control group), the mean value of the asset index (0.5 higher in the control group), and the proportion of politicians who are members of women's organizations (6 percentage points higher in the control group). To guard against the possibility that these imbalances are driving our results rather than the treatment itself, we present analyses with specifications that adjust for pre-treatment differences in addition to base specifications without any covariates.

#### Independent Variables

The survey captured a range of details about politician backgrounds that provide the necessary information to examine demographic correlates of abortion attitudes. Consistent with prior research, we expect women politicians will be more likely to support liberalizing abortion access when compared to their male counterparts. This variable is coded dichotomously.

We assess the relationship between abortion attitudes and economic resources in two ways. First, we treat the political office for which a candidate competes—member of parliament versus ward councilor—as an indirect measure of their access to economic resources. Candidates in our sample who run for national parliament or local ward councils are generally drawn from distinct socioeconomic strata. In Zambia, parties seek out wealthy individuals to run for parliament because they need to be self-financing to be electorally competitive (Arriola et al., 2022). For example, the average MP candidate spent over \$20,000, most of it out of their own pocket, in the run-up to the 2016 elections (Arriola et al., 2021). By contrast, ward councilor candidates resemble the socioeconomic profile of the general population. Unlike their MP counterparts, these candidates for local office do not have the resources to fund campaigns; they depend either on the party or MP candidates to subsidize them. We therefore expect ward councilor candidates, who are relatively poorer than MP candidates, to be more supportive of liberalizing abortion access.

Additionally, we use a politician's wealth as a direct measure of access to economic resources. Wealth is measured through an asset index that aggregates dichotomous responses to whether a candidate owns a vehicle, a house, a farm, a business, commercial property, or undeveloped land. We expect wealthier candidates to be more supportive of liberalizing abortion access.

Among other demographic factors, we control for marital status, which is coded dichotomously. We expect marital status to be correlated with less support for abortion liberalization. We also control for religious affiliation by coding respondents as Mainline Christian (e.g., Presbyterian or Methodist), Catholic, or Evangelical. We expect Catholics and Evangelicals in particular to be more likely to reject liberalization. While prior research suggests that ethnic identity could be correlated with abortion attitudes, we remain agnostic as to whether support for legalization varies significantly across Zambia's larger ethnic groups (i.e., Bemba, Lozi, Ngoni, Nyanja, and Tonga).

To examine whether prior knowledge might affect how candidates respond to liberalizing abortion, we use two measures. We control for whether candidates are college graduates, and we control for whether candidates are members of women's organizations. We expect both college graduates and members of women's organizations to be more supportive of liberalizing abortion access. Additional controls include candidates' birth year as well as political experience based on a count of their prior runs for office.

#### Analysis of Abortion Policy Preferences

We begin the empirical analysis by establishing a baseline for politicians' attitudes toward abortion policy as it existed when the survey was conducted in Zambia. When asked if abortion policy should be made more restricted, less restricted, or stay the same, a majority of politician respondents prefer maintaining the status quo, regardless of gender. As the left panel of Figure 2 shows, 60 percent of women politicians and 58 percent of men politicians would choose to keep abortion policy as it stands in Zambia.

But there are significant gender differences when it comes to supporting policy change. A larger proportion of men politicians (32 percent) would prefer more restrictive abortion policy compared to women politicians (23 percent). Approximately 17 percent of women politicians indicate a preference for less restrictive abortion policy, but only 9 percent of men politicians state the same. Figure 2 further reveals that there are large differences between politicians who run at the national and local levels, even within gender. For example, while 24 percent of men parliamentary candidates would prefer more restrictive abortion policy, the rate rises to 36 percent



**Figure 2.** Preferences on abortion policy change by electoral tier and gender: Women candidates prefer less restrictive abortion policy than men. *Notes.* The distribution of abortion policy preferences among men and women candidates by electoral tier. Darker (lighter) shades of color indicate preferences for more restrictive (less restrictive) policy change.

among men ward councilor candidates. The differences among women are even wider: 14 percent of women parliamentary candidates prefer more restrictions, whereas 29 percent of women ward councilor candidates want the same.

The gendered differences shown in Figure 2 are borne out in the analysis presented in Table 4, which shows that women politicians are systematically more likely than men politicians to support liberalizing abortion policy even after accounting for other relevant factors using ordered logistic regressions. In Table 4, the first model shows that the gender variable is statistically significant at the 0.01 level and moves in the predicted direction. Consistent with prior research, women politicians in the Zambian sample are systematically more likely to support liberalizing abortion access when compared to men politicians.

MP candidates appear to be more liberal in their attitudes toward abortion when compared to ward candidates. The positive coefficient indicates that MP candidates are more likely to support liberalizing abortion restrictions. This result is statistically significant at the 0.05 level in the pooled analysis, but fails to retain statistical significance in the subgroup analysis for women.

We observe that older candidates hold more liberal abortion policy preferences, as shown in the pooled analysis and the men subgroup analyses.

	Outcome: Abortion policy preferences		
	Full Sample (1)	Women (2)	Men (3)
Woman	0.691***		
	(0.266)		
Married	0.218	0.292	0.399
	(0.246)	(0.567)	(0.277)
Mainline Christian	0.529	0.451	0.788**
	(0.330)	(0.730)	(0.374)
Evangelical	0.315	0.486	0.248
-	(0.217)	(0.651)	(0.233)
Catholic	0.500**	0.212	0.567**
	(0.243)	(0.681)	(0.263)
Age	0.025**	0.019	0.025**
-	(0.010)	(0.026)	(0.011)
Ruling Party	0.145	0.351	0.140
- /	(0.169)	(0.523)	(0.181)
MP Candidate	0.446**	0.540	0.396
	(0.227)	(0.599)	(0.249)
Prior Election Experience	0.034	0.671	0.059
	(0.090)	(0.433)	(0.093)
Asset Index	0.277	0.721	0.287
	(0.381)	(1.127)	(0.412)
College	0.005	0.143	0.005
	(0.182)	(0.501)	(0.199)
Women's Organization	0.204	0.023	0.272
_	(0.201)	(0.571)	(0.217)
Observations	590	73	517

Table 4. Regression Analysis of Preferences on Abortion Policy Change.

Notes. Estimated using ordered logistic regressions. Outcome is "Abortion should be made more restricted (-1), stay the same (0), or less restricted (1)." Standard errors in parentheses. p < .1; p < .05; p < .01.

But other demographic variables in Table 4 appear to have no systematic relationship with abortion policy preferences. The coefficients on marriage, religion, and education mostly fail to attain statistical significance. Male candidates that are mainline Christians appear to be marginally more supportive of liberalization, though this relationship does not hold in the women's subgroup analysis. In the women's subgroup analysis, Catholic women are less supportive of liberalization, an effect that does not hold among men. Membership in a women's organization, a possible proxy for information and even revealed preference over reproductive rights, is uncorrelated with abortion policy preference. Neither electoral experience nor ruling party membership are associated with abortion policy preferences.

We now turn to discussing the experimental results. Recall that politicians in our sample, after expressing their initial preferences, were subsequently asked whether they would support the then-pending proposal to reduce the number of doctors' signatures required for a woman to secure medical approval for a legal abortion. Before being posed this question, politicians in the treatment condition were informed about the prevailing mortality rate linked to unsafe abortions in the country. Politicians in our sample were thus effectively asked if they would support liberalizing legal access to the medical service in light of the negative health effects associated with existing restrictions.

The top panel of Figure 3 shows that the treatment on the consequences of unsafe abortion had no effect when examined among the full sample. However, when disaggregated by gender, it becomes clear that women politicians are significantly more likely to respond to the treatment regarding maternal mortality by increasing their support for the liberalizing proposal. The treatment effect is close to zero and fails to attain statistical significance in the sample restricted only to men politicians.



**Figure 3.** Treatment effects by candidate electoral tier and gender: Women candidates respond more strongly to the experimental treatment regarding maternal mortality.

Notes. Treatment effects from the experiment by candidate electoral tier and gender. Points represent average treatment effects estimated using linear regression while thick and thin lines represent 90% and 95% confidence intervals. Tabular presentation of results are found in Appendix Table A3.

The middle and bottom panels in Figure 3 reveal the potential interaction between gender and other factors correlated with candidacy. We find that not all women politicians respond to the treatment in the same way. The treatment effect for women politicians in the middle panel is statistically indistinguishable from zero, suggesting that women who seek to become MPs in Zambia's national parliament were unaffected by the additional information on maternal mortality. By contrast, in the bottom panel, the treatment effect for women is positive and statistically significant at the 0.05 level, indicating that women who run to become local ward councilors are updating their policy preferences when exposed to public health information. The treatment effect in the bottom panel suggests that this information alone can increase a woman ward candidate's support for liberalizing abortion policy by nearly 19 percent (1.3 points on the 7-point scale). We further show in supplementary analyses presented in the appendix that these effects hold even when controlling for the candidates' prior abortion policy preferences (see Appendix Table A4) or the full set of pre-treatment controls (see Appendix Tables A5 and A6). Finally, as expected, we find that men politicians remain unaffected by the public health treatment, regardless of whether they are running as MP or ward candidates.

Figure 4 provides greater clarity on the differences across candidate type and gender. MP candidates hold, on average, more liberal attitudes toward abortion policy when compared to ward councilor candidates. In the control condition, women MP candidates hold the most liberal positions (4.50), while women ward councilor candidates are the most conservative (3.52). Yet, when exposed to the information on maternal mortality in the treatment condition, it is the women ward councilor candidates who exhibit the largest shift toward liberalizing abortion. Notably, men ward councilor candidates, who hold similarly conservative positions in the control condition, show no such updating.

Figure 5 further shows that the framing treatment moved the very women who originally reported having the most conservative abortion policy preferences. Using the pre-treatment measure of preference for abortion policy (used as the outcome for analyses in Table 4) to examine subgroup heterogeneity in treatment effects, women ward candidates who said they would prefer abortion to be more restricted appear to be most responsive to the public health treatment, moving from an average of 2.33 among control to 5.25 among treated (2.92 change on a 7 point scale, p < .001). The magnitude of the treatment effects was slightly smaller among women ward candidates who said that they would like abortion policy to stay the same prior to treatment (1.28 on a 7-point scale, p < .05). In contrast, the treatment seems to have little effect among women ward candidates who had already reported that they would prefer abortion policy to become less restrictive; the difference between the treated and control groups is -0.25 points and is statistically indistinguishable from zero at conventional levels.



**Figure 4.** Average responses on the experimental outcome by candidate electoral tier and gender treatment condition: Treatment effects among women candidates are driven primarily by women ward councilor candidates.

Notes. Subgroup analysis of the treatment effects by candidate type and gender. Outcome is "Agree to reduce the number of doctors required" (7-point scale). Bars represent means for the treated and control conditions for each candidate category. The error bars present 95% confidence intervals for the means. The connecting lines are from two-tailed difference-in-means tests. \*p < .1, \*\*p < .05, \*\*\*p < .01.

# Assessing the Mechanisms for Policy Preference Change

The findings in the previous section indicate that the gender effect in updating abortion policy preferences is driven largely by the subset of women politicians competing to become ward councilors (local politicians) rather than MPs (national politicians). To account for this apparent divergence, we suggest that focusing on the intersection of gender and wealth can help illuminate why women involved in local—rather than national—politics might be more amenable to changing their positions on abortion policy after being exposed to a public health framing on maternal mortality in Zambia.

# Wealth as the Mechanism for Policy Preference Change

Why are women politicians with fewer financial resources more likely to be moved by a treatment regarding the human cost associated with unsafe abortion?



**Figure 5.** Heterogeneity in treatment effects among women ward candidates by pretreatment abortion policy preferences: Treatment effects are largest for women ward candidates that reported having the most conservative pre-treatment abortion policy preferences.

Notes. Subgroup analysis of treatment effects by pre-treatment preference for abortion policy change among women ward candidates. The outcome is "Agree to reduce the number of doctors required" (7-point scale). Bars represent means for the treated and control conditions for each level of the pre-treatment policy preference. The error bars present 95% confidence intervals for the means. The connecting lines are from two-tailed difference-in-means tests. \*p < .1, \*\*p < .05, \*\*\*p < .01.

In Zambia, women who enter local politics are typically from lower socioeconomic strata. Like the average Zambian woman, these local politicians have relatively few resources to circumvent the multiple legal and medical obstacles to managing their fertility. Consequently, when the health risks linked to existing abortion conditions are made salient to them, women politicians competing for local offices are more likely to understand that they themselves-or women they know-may have to pay the costs, financially and physically, if policy remains unchanged. By contrast, women who run for parliament in Zambia are from wealthier backgrounds, enabling them to afford the high costs of personally paying for election expenses (Arriola et al., 2021).<sup>12</sup> For these women, their greater wealth affords them greater freedom from the legal regulations that might otherwise limit their choices across a range of domains. Existing abortion policy simply poses less of an obstacle to their exercise of reproductive rights. Women MP candidates are therefore unlikely to have been negatively affected by financial constraints in accessing reproductive healthcare. For these politicians, family planning services can be readily secured through private clinics, which are less likely to require spousal consent, but at a relatively higher financial cost.

To corroborate our expectations regarding the interaction between gender and wealth among women candidates, we first confirm that women seeking to become local ward councilors have, as a group, lower average wealth than women pursuing national parliamentary seats. Figure 6 shows that women ward candidates do, in fact, possess relatively fewer assets (i.e., business, commercial property, land, farm, house, vehicle) than women MP candidates. While women MP candidates possess over 75 percent of those assets on average, women ward candidates hold just over 50 percent of such assets on average.

The asset index maps on to real distinctions among women candidates. Illustrating candidate type differences across each asset category, Figure 7 shows that women MP candidates are more likely than women ward candidates to own assets in five of the index's six categories. Consider, for example, that 82 percent of the women among MP candidates own a business, but only 49 percent of the ward candidates do so, a statistically significant difference (p = .004). Similarly, women MP candidates are more than twice as likely to own commercial property compared to women ward candidates (43 percent versus 20 percent, p = .036), they are more likely to own land (71 percent of MP candidates versus 41 percent of ward







Figure 7. Individual assets among women parliamentary and ward councilor candidates.

Notes. Figure shows the proportion of women MPs and ward councilors owning individual types of assets. The bars reflect the means with 95 percent confidence intervals. The connecting lines are from two-tailed difference-in-means tests.  ${}^{*}p < .1$ ,  ${}^{**}p < .05$ ,  ${}^{***}p < .01$ .

candidates, p = .010), and they are more likely to own a farm (79 percent of MP candidates versus 49 percent of ward candidates, p = .011). Women MP candidates are also more likely to own a vehicle compared to women ward candidates (93 percent versus 65 percent, p = .007). Owning a home is the single category in which there is no statistically significant difference among women candidates.

We find that the effects of the public health framing among women politicians are conditioned by wealth. Figure 8 shows that women candidates with lower wealth are systematically more likely to be moved by the treatment. Appendix Table A7 presents the associated tests. By interacting the treatment variable with the asset index, we find a negative interaction effect that is statistically significant at conventional levels for both specifications with and without the full set of pretreatment controls for women candidates only, as shown in subfigure (b). The negative sign on the interaction term suggests that women with less wealth are systematically more likely to be affected by the treatment. These women are far more likely to support the liberalizing proposal (i.e., reducing the number of required doctor signatures) after being informed of the human cost associated with unsafe abortion. Conversely, women candidates with more wealth are less likely to be moved by the treatment in support of the liberalizing proposal. For example, at



Figure 8. Treatment and wealth interaction: Treatment increases support for abortion liberalization among less wealthy women candidates (a) Men Candidates (b) Women Candidates.

Notes. Figure reports heterogeneity in treatment effects by candidate wealth. The points represent the treatment effect estimate while the lines represent the 95 percent confidence intervals. Regression models from which the plot is generated are reported in columns (6) and (4) in Appendix Table A7.

the 25th percentile of the asset index (0.33 on the 0 to 1 index), being exposed to the treatment leads to approximately a 2.06 average increase (on the 7-point scale) in a woman's support for the liberalizing proposal. However, at the 75th percentile of the asset index (0.83 on the 0 to 1 index), exposure to the same treatment only leads to a 0.30 average increase for the proposal. In line with our intuition, we observe no such effect among men candidates as shown in subfigure (a).

We further probe the plausibility of our claim by replicating the analysis among the women who ran to become ward councilors. In Figure 9, which is drawn from Appendix Table A9, we again find that the treatment alone has a statistically significant and positive effect on women ward candidates' support for the liberalizing proposal. We also replicate the significant negative interaction between the treatment and the asset index among women ward candidates. Women politicians with less wealth are far more likely to support the liberalizing proposal after being exposed to the treatment. Consider once more a woman ward candidate at the 25th percentile of the asset index: when compared to the control condition, exposing her to the treatment leads to a 2.71 average increase (on the 7point scale) in support of the liberalizing proposal. By contrast, at the 75th percentile of the asset index, there is effectively no difference between a woman candidate in the treatment or control conditions; the average difference between them is -0.13 on the 7-point scale.

It is important to acknowledge that the preceding analyses of heterogeneity in politician wealth are conducted on small samples (N = 75 for all women





Notes. Figure reports heterogeneity in treatment effects by candidate wealth. The points represent the treatment effect estimate while the lines represent the 95 percent confidence intervals. Regression models from which the plot is generated are reported in columns (4) and (2) in Appendix Table A9.

candidates, N = 49 for women ward councilor candidates). To address concerns over the validity of inferences based on small sample sizes, we conduct randomization inference that tests against the null of constant effects (i.e. no heterogeneity in candidate wealth) equal to the estimated ATE. We present these results in Appendix Figures A1 and A2 as well as Tables A10 and A11. We are able to reject the null hypothesis of constant effects, reinforcing the validity of the findings reported in Figures 8 and 9.

#### Addressing Alternative Explanations

Are there other systematic differences that might account for the particular responsiveness of women ward candidates to the treatment? The findings in Panel A of Table 5 and Appendix Table A12 suggest that marital status is unlikely to be a key mechanism. The existing literature provides no clear consensus on whether married women might be expected to be more supportive of liberalizing abortion reforms. Our findings indicate that there is no systematic pattern among married women or men that differentiates their response to treatment from those who are unmarried.

Religious affiliation has been previously found to be a significant predictor of more conservative abortion attitudes. In Panel B of Table 5 and Appendix Table A14, we find that the information treatment is not systematically conditioned by religious adherence among Catholics, whether men or women. The effects move

	Women (I)	Men (2)
Panel A		
Treatment	1.583**	-0.0690
	(0.786)	(0.467)
Married	0.457	0.574
	(0.707)	(0.343)
Treatment×Married	-0.873	0.151
	(0.919)	(0.497)
Constant	3.500***	3.235***
	(0.609)	(0.320)
Panel B		
Treatment	1.095**	0.170
	(0.426)	(0.173)
Catholic	2.238***	0.486
	(0.690)	(0.322)
Treatment×Catholic	-0.792	-0.620
	(0.934)	(0.453)
Constant	3.333***	3.664***
	(0.328)	(0.126)
Treatment	I.278***	0.0819
	(0.437)	(0.165)
Mainline	2.100**	0.463
	(0.828)	(0.577)
Treatment×Mainline	<b>-2.592</b> **	-0.264
	(1.085)	(0.717)
Constant	3.500***	3.719***
	(0.333)	(0.119)
Treatment	0.307	-0.0150
	(0.426)	(0.178)
Evangelical	<b>-2.647</b> ***	-0.406
	(0.652)	(0.288)
Treatment×Evangelical	1.943**	0.460
	(0.901)	(0.410)
Constant	4.522***	3.821 <sup>***</sup>
	(0.331)	(0.130)
Observations	74	547

 Table 5. Treatment and Marriage/Identity Interactions: Gendered Effects on

 Abortion Liberalization Preferences Are Unlikely to Be Driven by Marital Status or

 Religious Identity.

Notes. Heterogeneous treatment effects analysis by candidate marriage status (Panel A) and religion (Panel B). Outcome is "Agree to reduce the number of doctors required" (7-point scale). Estimated using linear regression with standard errors in parentheses. \*p < .1, \*\*p < .05, \*\*\*p < .01.

	Women (I)	Men (2)
Panel A		
Treatment	0.633	0.216
	(0.574)	(0.229)
College	-0.748	0.385
	(0.635)	(0.233)
Treatment × College	0.529 (0.831)	-0.252 (0.322)
Constant	4.176*** (0.426)	3.529*** (0.172)
Panel B		
Treatment	0.691	0.136
	(0.498)	(0.185)
Women org	-0.242	0.314
-	(0.701)	(0.261)
Treatment × Women org	0.566 (0.916)	-0.022 (0.380)
Constant	3.909*** (0.378)	3.643*** (0.137)
Observations	74	548

 Table 6.
 Treatment and Knowledge Interaction: Gendered Effects on Abortion

 Liberalization Preferences Are Unlikely to Be Driven by Knowledge.

Notes. Heterogeneous treatment effects analysis by candidate knowledge. Outcome is "Agree to reduce the number of doctors required" (7-point scale). Estimated using linear regression with standard errors in parentheses. \*p < .1, \*\*p < .05, \*\*\*p < .01.

in opposite directions for different religious groups. Whereas women candidates who belong to Mainline Christian denominations are more likely to liberalize their views after being exposed to the information treatment, women candidates who are in Evangelical faith traditions are more likely to hold onto conservative positions. Again, as with previous findings, these particular denominational effects are gendered; they do not hold among men candidates.

Ethnicity also does not seem to play a consistent role in driving women candidates' responses to the treatment. Both women and men candidates in our sample are ethnically diverse. In Appendix Table A15, we report that women candidates who identify as Ngoni are less likely to support the liberalizing proposal. The same is true for men who identify as Bemba. Furthermore, when examining treatment-ethnicity interactions, we find very little evidence that the treatment resulted in heterogeneous treatment effects across ethnic groups (see Appendix Table A16).

We further find that women candidates' responses are not conditioned by their education or broader access to information. Since prior scholarship suggests that better-educated women tend to be more progressive in their abortion views, it could be the case that the information treatment would be more effective among women candidates with greater education. Alternatively, if women are less informed about policy, we might expect lower-educated women to be more affected by the treatment. Yet, as shown in Panel A of Table 6 and Appendix Table A17, we find no interaction effects between the treatment and college education. Moreover, we find no interaction effect for whether a candidate is a member of a women's organization, which might serve as an information proxy (Panel B, Table 6 and Appendix Table A18).

# Conclusion

While the human cost associated with restrictive abortion policies have been documented by researchers in medical and other scientific disciplines, social scientists have yet to accumulate the insights necessary to understand the conditions in which elected politicians might be willing to use such information to implement reforms aimed at improving the health and wellbeing of women and their families. In investigating how candidates for office respond to public health treatments regarding the importance of abortion policy on maternal mortality—namely, emphasizing the importance of saving women's lives—we find that such a framing only produces a response from a subset of women candidates and none from men candidates. We find that women candidates are more likely to support liberalizing abortion access mainly when they come from lower economic strata.

Our findings help to nuance the literature on substantive representation by detailing how — beyond critical mass or institutional context — the varied socioeconomic realities of women shape their actions as political representatives, particularly in countries of the Global South. We provide suggestive evidence that a key mechanism affecting their support for liberalizing reform is economic rather than identity or information-based. Further research is needed to corroborate this finding and establish to what extent women candidates see themselves as representatives of their gender when their lives are as likely to be conditioned by other salient inequalities in their societies. In this respect, our work provides a baseline for future research to assess the impact of the different types of framings employed by social movements to influence policymakers. Additional research would also consider how partisanship—while not as pertinent in the Zambian case, but important elsewhere—may also shape abortion liberality across the globe.

The findings presented here also help illuminate why the heterogeneity among women politicians should be examined more systematically, particularly in understanding how they might shift their policy preferences on sensitive or controversial issues. Since arguments for policy reform are unlikely to be based or justified solely on an individual's gender identity, we must understand how claims for changing policy might be used to activate other socioeconomic cleavages that structure women's lives, whether based on class, race, ethnicity, or religion. Understanding how alternate framings influence women politicians will enable researchers to better account for consequential policy shifts—or the lack thereof—as women increasingly participate in governance around the world.

#### Acknowledgments

We thank survey enumerators Bwale Chungu, Chichi Davison, Francine Chiluba, Grace Kyungupengu, Mildred Bwalanda, Charity Mills, Kawide Nakasamum, Amon Phiri, Fortune B. Kanyembo, Kalonde Siulapwa, Patrick Chilumbwa, Stephen Emmanuel Mwale. Additionally, we thank our project coordinator Beverly Shicilenge.

#### **Declaration of Conflicting Interests**

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

# Funding

The author(s) disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: Research funding was kindly provided by the Peder Sather Center for Advanced Study at UC Berkeley and the project on "Political Determinants of Sexual and Reproductive Health: Criminalization, Health Impacts and Game Changers" sponsored by the Norwegian Research Council (project number 248159). This research was approved by the UC Berkeley Office for the Protection of Human Subjects under protocol number 2015-12-8222.

# **ORCID** iDs

Justine M. Davis b https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9255-9914 Melanie L. Phillips b https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0380-5034

#### **Data Availability Statement**

The datasets generated during and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

#### Supplemental Material

Supplemental material for this article is available online.

#### Notes

- The Guttmacher Institute estimates that 93 percent of women of reproductive age in Africa live in countries with restrictive abortion laws. https://www.guttmacher. org/sites/default/files/factsheet/ib\_aww-africa.pdf
- 2. A limitation with existing studies on attitudes towards abortion is that they are largely drawn from the United States, though with varying samples e.g.,

Patel and Johns (2009) study undergraduate students (N = 141); Barkan (2014), Lizotte (2015), and Szafran and Clagett (1988) analyze the General Social Surveys; Westfall (1991) surveys Kansas physicians (N = 856); Moore and Stief (1991) analyze the National Survey of Children (N = 1146); Wright and Rogers (1987) study Texas college students (N = 840); and Betzig and Lombardo (1992) examine adults in Ann Arbor, MI (N = 471).

- Single men, however, hold slightly more pro-choice views than married women (Craig & O'Brien, 1993; Hertel & Russell, 1999).
- 4. The law permits socio-economic grounds to be taken into account as well. Abortion is also permitted if a pregnancy would lead to physical or mental abnormalities and in instances of child rape.
- Requiring one or more doctors' signatures for accessing a legal abortion is a common bureaucratic obstacle in many countries (Berer, 2017; Rowlands, 2013; Steinfeld, 2015).
- 6. Abortive services at Zambia's University Teaching Hospital are meant to be free, but women pay a registration fee based on their referral (Leone et al., 2016).
- Domestic workers earn a nominal monthly minimum wage of \$43, while general workers and cleaners earn a nominal monthly minimum wage of \$71. https://alrei.org/ education/minimum-and-living-wages-in-zambia-some-analytical-considerationsfor-improving-workers2019-conditions-by-grayson-koyi.
- The Zambian public generally lacks knowledge about the legality of abortion (Geary et al., 2012), and this lack of knowledge extends to healthcare professionals in the country (Macha et al., 2014; Moland et al., 2017).
- 9. Kabwe District in Central Province; Ndola District in Copperbelt Province; Chipata District in Eastern Province; Mansa District in Luapula Province; Lusaka in Lusaka Province; Chinsali District in Muchinga Province; Solwezi District in North-Western Province; Kasama District in Northern Province; Choma District in Southern Province; and Mongu District in Western Province. Ward councilors are also elected to represent single-member constituencies.
- 10. Replication materials and code can be found at Arriola et al. (2023).
- 11. After the survey was conducted in 2017, Zambia adopted legislation that reduced the number of required signatures to access an abortion under certain circumstances.
- Women candidates for parliament were more likely than men to report spending over 500,000 Zambian kwacha (over USD \$60,000) during the 2016 general elections.

#### References

Abramowitz, A. I. (1995). It's abortion, stupid: Policy voting in the 1992 presidential election. *The Journal of Politics*, 57(1), 176–186. https://doi.org/10.2307/2960276

Adebowale, A. S., Gbadebo, B., & Afolabi, F. R. (2016). Wealth index, empowerment and modern contraceptive use among married women in Nigeria: Are they interrelated? *Journal of Public Health*, 24(5), 415–426. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s10389-016-0738-3.

- Adebowale, A. S., Sunday, A. A., Latifat, D. I., & Martin, E. P. (2014). Differential effect of wealth quintile on modern contraceptive use and fertility: Evidence from Malawian women. *BMC Women's Health*, 14(1), 1–13. https://doi.org/10.1186/ 1472-6874-14-40.
- Adisah-Atta, I., Eugene, E. D. S., & Kaushik, P. (2019). Justification of abortion in west Africa and interplay of sociodemographic predictors: A comparative study of Ghana and Nigeria. *Plants*, 9(1), 1–9. https://doi.org/10.3390/ plants9010001
- Anderson, C. F. (2022). Legalising abortion in Argentina: Social movements and multi-party coalitions. *Journal of Politics in Latin America*, 14(2), 143–165. https://doi.org/10.1177/1866802x221100274.
- Arriola, L., Phillips, M., & Rakner, L. (2021). Same rules, higher costs: Women's pathways to candidacy in Zambia. In L. A. M. Johnson, & M. Phillips (Eds.), *Women and power in Africa: Aspiring, campaigning, and governing* (pp. 55–83). Oxford University Press chapter 2.
- Arriola, L. R., Choi, D. D., Davis, J. M., Phillips, M. L., & Rakner, L. (2022). Paying to party: Candidate resources and party switching in new democracies. *Party Politics*, 28(3), 507–520. https://doi.org/10.1177/ 1354068821989563
- Arriola Leonardo, R., Donghyun, D. C., Justine, M. D., Melanie, L. P., & Lise, R. (2023). Replication data for: Policymakers' abortion preferences: Understanding the intersection of gender and wealth. Harvard Dataverse
- Asal, V., Brown, M., & Figueroa, R. G. (2008). Structure, empowerment and the liberalization of cross-national abortion rights. *Politics and Gender*, 4(02), 265–284. https://doi.org/10.1017/s1743923x08000184
- Barkan, S. E. (2014). Gender and abortion attitudes: Religiosity as a suppressor variable. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 78(4), 940–950. https://doi.org/10.1093/poq/ nfu047
- Barnes, T. D., Beall, V. D., & Holman, M. R. (2021). Pink-collar representation and budgetary outcomes in US states. *Legislative Studies Quarterly*, 46(1), 119–154. https://doi.org/10.1111/lsq.12286
- Bartkowski, J. P., Ramos-Wada, A. I., Ellison, C. G., & Acevedo, G. A. (2012). Faith, race-ethnicity, and public policy preferences: Religious schemas and abortion attitudes among US Latinos. *Journal for the Scientific Study of Religion*, 51(2), 343–358. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-5906.2012.01645.x
- Beckwith, K., & Cowell-Meyers, K. (2007). Sheer numbers: Critical representation thresholds and women's political representation. *Perspectives on Politics*, 5(03), 553–565. https://doi.org/10.1017/s153759270707154x
- Beer, C. (2017). Making abortion laws in Mexico: Salience and autonomy in the policymaking process. *Comparative Politics*, 50(1), 41–59. https://doi.org/10. 5129/001041517821864408.
- Berer, M. (2017). Abortion law and policy around the world: In search of decriminalization. *Health and Human Rights*, 19(1), 13–27.

- Berkman, M. B., & O'Connor, R. E. (1993). Do women legislators matter? Female legislators and state abortion policy. *American Politics Quarterly*, 21(1), 102–124. https://doi.org/10.1177/1532673x9302100107.
- Bernas, R. S., & Stein, N. L. (2001). Changing stances on abortion during casebased reasoning tasks: Who changes and under what conditions. *Discourse Processes*, 32(2-3), 177–190. https://doi.org/10.1080/0163853x.2001. 9651597
- Betzig, L., & Lombardo, L. H. (1992). Who's pro-choice and why. *Ethology* and Sociobiology, 13(1), 49–71. https://doi.org/10.1016/0162-3095(92) 90006-p
- Blofield, M. (2008). Women's choices in comparative perspective: Abortion policies in late-developing Catholic countries. *Comparative Politics*, 40(4), 399–419. https:// doi.org/10.5129/001041508x12911362383273
- Blofield, M. (2013). *The politics of moral sin: Abortion and divorce in Spain, Chile and Argentina*. Routledge.
- Blofield, M., & Ewig, C. (2017). The left turn and abortion politics in Latin America. Social Politics: International Studies in Gender, State and Society, 24(4), 481–510. https://doi.org/10.1093/sp/jxx018
- Blystad, A., Haldis, H., Getnet, T., Marte, E. S., Richard, S., Joseph, M. Z., & Karen, M. M. (2019). The access paradox: Abortion law, policy and practice in Ethiopia, Tanzania and Zambia. *International Journal for Equity in Health*, 18(1), 1–15.
- Bolzendahl, C. I., & Myers, D. J. (2004). Feminist attitudes and support for gender equality: Opinion change in women and men, 1974–1998. *Social Forces*, 83(2), 759–789. https://doi.org/10.1353/sof.2005.0005
- Budde, E., & Heichel, S. (2017). Women matter: The impact of gender empowerment on abortion regulation in 16 European countries between 1960 and 2010. *Politics* and Gender, 13(03), 432–457. https://doi.org/10.1017/s1743923x16000556
- Budde, E., Heichel, S., Hurka, S., & Knill, C. (2018). Partisan effects in morality policy making. *European Journal of Political Research*, 57(2), 427–449. https://doi.org/ 10.1111/1475-6765.12233
- Burns, G. (2005). *The moral veto: Framing contraception, abortion, and cultural pluralism in the United States.* Cambridge University Press.
- Campbell, D. E., Layman, G. C., Green, J. C., & Sumaktoyo, N. G. (2018). Putting politics first: The impact of politics on American religious and secular orientations. *American Journal of Political Science*, 62(3), 551–565. https://doi.org/10. 1111/ajps.12365
- Carmines, E. G., Gerrity, J. C., & Wagner, M. W. (2010). How abortion became a partisan issue: Media coverage of the interest group-political party connection. *Politics and Policy*, 38(6), 1135–1158. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1747-1346.2010. 00272.x
- Carnes, N., & Lupu, N. (2015). Rethinking the comparative perspective on class and representation: Evidence from Latin America. *American Journal of Political Science*, 59(1), 1–18. https://doi.org/10.1111/ajps.12112

- Carnes, N., & Lupu, N. (2023). The economic backgrounds of politicians. Annual Review of Political Science, 26(1), 253–270. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurevpolisci-051921-102946
- Cassese, E. C., & Holman, M. R. (2016). Religious beliefs, gender consciousness, and women's political participation. *Sex Roles*, 75(9-10), 514–527. https://doi.org/10. 1007/s11199-016-0635-9
- Celis, K., Childs, S., Kantola, J., & Krook, M. L. (2008). Rethinking women's substantive representation. *Representation*, 44(2), 99–110. https://doi.org/10. 1080/00344890802079573
- Chiappori, P. A. (1992). "Collective" models of household behavior: The sharing rule approach. DELTA.
- Childs, S., & Krook, M. L. (2009). Analysing women's substantive representation: From critical mass to critical actors. *Government and Opposition*, 44(2), 125–145. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1477-7053.2009.01279.x
- Coast, E., & Murray, S. F. (2016). "These things are dangerous": Understanding induced abortion trajectories in urban Zambia. *Social Science & Medicine*, 153, 201–209. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2016.02.025.
- Cook, E. A. (2019). Between two absolutes: Public opinion and the politics of abortion. Routledge.
- Cowell-Meyers, K., & Langbein, L. (2009). Linking women's descriptive and substantive representation in the United States. *Politics and Gender*, 5(04), 491–518. https://doi.org/10.1017/s1743923x09990328.
- Craig, B. H., & O'Brien, D. M. (1993). Abortion and American politics. CQ Press.
- Cresswell, J. A., Schroeder, R., Dennis, M., Owolabi, O., Vwalika, B., Musheke, M., Campbell, O., & Filippi, V. (2016). Women's knowledge and attitudes surrounding abortion in Zambia: A cross-sectional survey across three provinces. *BMJ Open*, 6(3), e010076–e010079. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2015-010076
- Daby, M., & Moseley, M. W. (2022). Feminist mobilization and the abortion debate in Latin America: Lessons from Argentina. *Politics and Gender*, 18(2), 359–393. https://doi.org/10.1017/s1743923x20000197
- Demographic CSO Zambia. (2014). *Health survey 2013–14 rockville*. Central Statistical Office (CSO)[Zambia], Ministry of Health (MOH)[Zambia], and ICF International.
- Doepke, M., & Tertilt, M. (2018). Women's empowerment, the gender gap in desired fertility, and fertility outcomes in developing countries. AEA Papers and Proceedings, 108, 358–362. https://doi.org/10.1257/pandp.20181085.
- Elischer, S. (2013). *Political parties in Africa: Ethnicity and party formation*. Cambridge University Press.
- Encarnación, O. G. (2022). Latin America's abortion rights breakthrough. Journal of Democracy, 33(4), 89–103. https://doi.org/10.1353/jod.2022.0049
- Esposito, C. L., & Basow, S. A. (1995). College students' attitudes toward abortion: The role of knowledge and demographic variables 1. *Journal of Applied Social*

*Psychology*, *25*(22), 1996–2017. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1559-1816.1995. tb01828.x

- Faúndes, A., & Shah, I. H. (2015). Evidence supporting broader access to safe legal abor-tion. International Journal of Gynaecology & Obstetrics: The Official Organ of the International Federation of Gynaecology and Obstetrics, 131(Suppl 1), S56–S59. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jigo.2015.03.018
- Ferree, M. M. (2002). Shaping abortion discourse: Democracy and the public sphere in Germany and the United States. Cambridge University Press.
- Ganatra, B., Gerdts, C., Rossier, C., Johnson, B. R., Tunçalp, O., Assifi, A., Sedgh, G., Singh, S., Bankole, A., Popinchalk, A., Bearak, J., Kang, Z., & Alkema, L. (2017). Global, regional, and subregional classification of abortions by safety, 2010–14: Estimates from a bayesian hierarchical model. *Lancet (London, England)*, 390(10110), 2372–2381. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(17) 31794-4
- García-Prado, A., & Gonzalez, P. (2007). Policy and regulatory responses to dual practice in the health sector. *Health Policy*, 84(2-3), 142–152. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.healthpol.2007.03.006
- Geary, C. W., Gebreselassie, H., Awah, P., & Pearson, E. (2012). Attitudes toward abortion in Zambia. International Journal of Gynaecology & Obstetrics: The Official Organ of the International Federation of Gynaecology and Obstetrics, 118(Suppl 2), S148–S151. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0020-7292(12)60014-9.
- Gerdts, C., Tunçalp, O., Johnston, H., & Ganatra, B. (2015). Measuring abortionrelated mortality: Challenges and opportunities. *Reproductive Health*, 12(1), 87–93. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12978-015-0064-1.
- GRZ. (2017). Seventh national development programme 2017-2021. Ministry of Finance and National Planning.
- Haaland, M. E. S., Haukanes, H., Joseph, M. Z., Karen, M. M., Michelo, C., Munakampe, M. N., & Blystad, A. (2019). Shaping the abortion policy–competing discourses on the Zambian termination of pregnancy act. *International Journal for Equity in Health*, 18(1), 1–11.
- Hallman, K. (2004). Socioeconomic disadvantage and unsafe sexual behaviors among young women and men in South Africa. Population Council Working.
- Halwiindi, T., Mulenga, D., & Siziya, S. (2016). Awareness on the availability of legal safe abortions among female adolescents attending secondary school in Ndola district, Zambia. *Asian Pacific Journal of Health Sciences*, 3(4), 11–16. https:// doi.org/10.21276/apjhs.2016.3.4.2
- Hertel, B. R., & Russell, M. C. (1999). Examining the absence of a gender effect on abortion attitudes: Is there really no difference? *Sociological Inquiry*, 69(3), 364–381. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-682x.1999.tb00876.x
- Hinfelaar, M. (2011). Debating the secular in Zambia: The response of the catholic Church to scientific socialism and christian nation, 1976–2006. In H. Englund (Ed.), *Christianity and public culture in Africa* (pp. 50–66). Ohio University Press.

- Hoffmann, J. P., & Johnson, S. M. (2005). Attitudes toward abortion among religious traditions in the United States: Change or continuity? *Sociology of Religion*, 66(2), 161–182. https://doi.org/10.2307/4153084
- Holcombe, S. J., & Kidanemariam Gebru, S. (2022). Agenda setting and socially contentious policies: Ethiopia's 2005 reform of its law on abortion. *Reproductive Health*, 19(S1), 218–316. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12978-021-01255-z.
- Holman, M., Podrazik, E., & Silber Mohamed, H. (2020). Choosing choice: How gender and religiosity shape abortion attitudes among latinos. *The Journal of Race, Ethnicity, and Politics*, 5(2), 384–411. https://doi.org/10.1017/rep. 2019.51.
- Htun, M. (2003). Sex and the state: Abortion, divorce, and the family under Latin American dictatorships and democracies. Cambridge University Press.
- Htun, M., Lacalle, M., & Micozzi, J. P. (2013). Does women's presence change legislative behavior? Evidence from Argentina, 1983–2007. *Journal of Politics in Latin America*, 5(1), 95–125. https://doi.org/10.1177/1866802x1300500105.
- Htun, M., & Power, T. J. (2006). Gender, parties, and support for equal rights in the Brazilian Congress. *Latin American Politics and Society*, 48(4), 83–104. https:// doi.org/10.1111/j.1548-2456.2006.tb00366.x
- Htun, M., & Weldon, S. L. (2010). When do governments promote women's rights? A framework for the comparative analysis of sex equality policy. *Perspectives on Politics*, 8(1), 207–216. https://doi.org/10.1017/s1537592709992787
- Hunt, M. E., Jozkowski, K. N., Cleland, K., Crawford, B. L., Lo, W. J., Warren, R., & Vinti, H. (2022). Examining the effect of a randomized media intervention on knowledge and support of abortion restrictions: A case study in the South. *Sexuality Research and Social Policy*, 19(3), 870–885. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s13178-021-00624-4.
- Jelen, T. G. (1993). The political consequences of religious group attitudes. *The Journal of Politics*, 55(1), 178–190. https://doi.org/10.2307/2132235
- Jelen, T. G. (2014). The subjective bases of abortion attitudes: A cross national comparison of religious traditions. *Politics and Religion*, 7(3), 550–567. https:// doi.org/10.1017/s1755048314000467
- Jelen, T. G., & Wilcox, C. (2003). Causes and consequences of public attitudes toward abortion: A review and research agenda. *Political Research Quarterly*, 56(4), 489–500. https://doi.org/10.2307/3219809
- Karol, D., & Thurston, C. N. (2020). From personal to partisan: Abortion, party, and religion among California state legislators. *Studies in American Political De*velopment, 34(1), 91–109. https://doi.org/10.1017/s0898588x19000166
- Kaufmann, K. M., & Petrocik, J. R. (1999). The changing politics of American men: Understanding the sources of the gender gap. *American Journal of Political Science*, 43(3), 864–887. https://doi.org/10.2307/2991838
- Kitschelt, H., & Rehm, P. (2014). Occupations as a site of political preference formation. *Comparative Political Studies*, 47(12), 1670–1706. https://doi.org/10. 1177/0010414013516066.

- Kreitzer, R. J. (2015). Politics and morality in state abortion policy. *State Politics and Policy Quarterly*, 15(1), 41–66. https://doi.org/10.1177/1532440014561868
- Latt, S. M., Milner, A., & Kavanagh, A. (2019). Abortion laws reform may reduce maternal mortality: An ecological study in 162 countries. *BMC Women's Health*, 19(1), 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12905-018-0705-y
- Lee, N. (2022). Do policy makers listen to experts? Evidence from a national survey of local and state policy makers. *American Political Science Review*, 116(2), 677–688. https://doi.org/10.1017/s0003055421000800
- Leone, T., Coast, E., Parmar, D., & Vwalika, B. (2016). The individual level cost of pregnancy termination in Zambia: A comparison of safe and unsafe abortion. *Health Policy and Planning*, 31(7), 825–833. https://doi.org/10.1093/heapol/ czv138
- Levy, D., Tien, C., & Aved, R. (2001). Do differences matter? Women members of congress and the hyde amendment. *Journal of Women, Politics and Policy*, 23(1), 105–127. https://doi.org/10.1080/1554477x.2001.9970959
- Likwa, R. N., Biddlecom, A., & Ball, H. (2009). Unsafe abortion in Zambia (3, pp. 1–4). Alan Guttmacher Institute
- Linde, J., & Vis, B. (2017). Do politicians take risks like the rest of us? An experimental test of prospect theory under MPs. *Political Psychology*, 38(1), 101–117. https:// doi.org/10.1111/pops.12335
- Lizotte, M. K. (2015). The abortion attitudes paradox: Model specification and gender differences. *Journal of Women, Politics and Policy*, 36(1), 22–42. https://doi.org/ 10.1080/1554477x.2015.985151
- Lopreite, D. (2012). Travelling ideas and domestic policy change: The transnational politics of reproductive rights/health in Argentina. *Global Social Policy*, *12*(2), 109–128. https://doi.org/10.1177/1468018112443685
- Lopreite, D. (2023). The long road to abortion rights in Argentina (1983–2020). *Bulletin of Latin American Research*, 42(3), 357–371. https://doi.org/10.1111/ blar.13350
- Luker, K. (1984). The war between the women. *Family Planning Perspectives*, 16(3), 105–110. https://doi.org/10.2307/2134998
- Luna, Z. (2017). Who speaks for whom?(Mis) representation and authenticity in social movements. *Mobilization: International Quarterly*, 22(4), 435–450. https://doi. org/10.17813/1086-671x-22-4-435
- Luna, Z., & Luker, K. (2013). Reproductive justice. Annual Review of Law and Social Science, 9(1), 327–352. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-lawsocsci-102612-134037
- Lynxwiler, D. G. J. (1999). The impact of religiosity on race variations in abortion attitudes. *Sociological Spectrum*, 19(3), 359–377. https://doi.org/10.1080/ 027321799280190.
- Macha, S., Muyuni, M., Nkonde, S., & Faúndes, A. (2014). Increasing access to legal termination of pregnancy and postabortion contraception at the. In *International Journal of Gynaecology & Obstetrics: The Official Organ of the International*

*Federation of Gynaecology and Obstetrics* (*126*, pp. S49–S51). University Teaching. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijgo.2014.03.010.

- McCoy, D., Bennett, S., Witter, S., Pond, B., Baker, B., Gow, J., Chand, S., Ensor, T., & McPake, B. (2008). Salaries and incomes of health workers in sub-Saharan Africa. *Lancet (London, England)*, 371(9613), 675–681. https://doi.org/10.1016/ S0140-6736(08)60306-2
- McVeigh, R., Crubaugh, B., & Estep, K. (2017). Plausibility structures, status threats, and the establishment of anti-abortion pregnancy centers. *American Journal of Sociology*, 122(5), 1533–1571. https://doi.org/10.1086/691127
- Miller, G., & Valente, C. (2016). Population policy: Abortion and modern contraception are substitutes. *Demography*, 53(4), 979–1009. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s13524-016-0492-8
- Minkenberg, M. (2002). Religion and public policy: Institutional, cultural, and political impact on the shaping of abortion policies in Western democracies. *Comparative Political Studies*, 35(2), 221–247. https://doi.org/10.1177/ 0010414002035002004
- Moland, K. M., Haukanes, H., Tadele, G., & Blystad, A. (2017). The paradox of access-abortion law, policy and misoprostol. *Tidsskrift for Den norske legeforening*, 137(2). https://doi.org/10.4045/tidsskr.17.0809.
- Moore, K. A., & Stief, T. M. (1991). Changes in marriage and fertility behavior: Behavior versus attitudes of young adults. *Youth & Society*, 22(3), 362–386. https://doi.org/10.1177/0044118x91022003004
- Munakampe, M. N., Joseph, M. Z., & Michelo, C. (2018). Contraception and abortion knowledge, attitudes and practices among adolescents from low and middleincome countries: A systematic review. *BMC Health Services Research*, 18(1), 1–13.
- Of Health Ministry. (2011). National health strategic plan 2011-2015. Ministry of Health
- Owolabi, O. O., Cresswell, J. A., Vwalika, B., Osrin, D., & Filippi, V. (2017). Incidence of abortion-related near-miss complications in Zambia: Cross-sectional study in central, Copperbelt and Lusaka provinces. *Contraception*, 95(2), 167–174. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.contraception.2016.08.014
- Parmar, D., Leone, T., Coast, E., Murray, S. F., Hukin, E., & Vwalika, B. T. (2017). Cost of abortions in Zambia: A comparison of safe abortion and post abortion care. *Global Public Health*, *12*(2), 236–249. https://doi.org/10.1080/17441692. 2015.1123747.
- Patel, C. J., & Johns, L. (2009). Gender role attitudes and attitudes to abortion: Are there gender differences? *The Social Science Journal*, 46(3), 493–505. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.soscij.2009.02.006
- Phillips, M. L. (2022). *The burden of proof—barriers to women in party controlled candidate selection*. PhD thesis. University of California.
- Phiri, M. L., Chasaya, M., & Ngomah, M. A. (2020). A retrospective analysis of maternal deaths in Zambia (2019-2020). *Health*, 4(3), 10–15.

- Pop-Eleches, C. (2010). The supply of birth control methods, education, and fertility evidence from Romania. *Journal of Human Resources*, 45(4), 971–997. https:// doi.org/10.1353/jhr.2010.0031
- Rowlands, S. (2013). The policing of abortion services in England. Journal of Family Planning and Reproductive Health Care, 39(2), 121–126. https://doi.org/10. 1136/jfprhc-2012-100575
- Sánchez Fuentes, M. L., Paine, J., & Elliott-Buettner, B. (2008). The decriminalisation of abortion in Mexico City: how did abortion rights become a political priority? *Gender and Development*, 16(2), 345–360. https://doi.org/10.1080/ 13552070802120533.
- Sawer, M. (2012). What makes the substantive representation of women possible in a westminster parliament? The story of RU486 in Australia. *International Political Science Review*, 33(3), 320–335. https://doi.org/10.1177/0192512111435369
- Schwindt-Bayer, L. A. (2006). Still supermadres? Gender and the policy priorities of Latin American legislators. *American Journal of Political Science*, 50(3), 570–585. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-5907.2006.00202.x
- Secret, P. E. (1987). The impact of region on racial differences in attitudes toward legal abortion. *Journal of Black Studies*, 17(3), 347–369. https://doi.org/10.1177/ 002193478701700305
- Sedgh, G., Bearak, J., Singh, S., Bankole, A., Popinchalk, A., Ganatra, B., Rossier, C., Gerdts, C., Tunçalp, O., Johnson, B. R., Johnston, H. B., & Alkema, L. (2016). Abortion incidence between 1990 and 2014: Global, regional, and subregional levels and trends. *Lancet (London, England)*, 388(10041), 258–267. https://doi. org/10.1016/S0140-6736(16)30380-4
- Shakya, G., Kishore, S., Bird, C., & Barak, J. (2004). Abortion law reform in Nepal: women's right to life and health. *Reproductive Health Matters*, 12(24 Suppl), 75–84. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0968-8080(04)24007-1
- Sheffer, L., Loewen, P. J., Soroka, S., Walgrave, S., & Sheafer, T. (2018). Nonrepresentative representatives: An experimental study of the decision making of elected politicians. *American Political Science Review*, 112(2), 302–321. https:// doi.org/10.1017/s0003055417000569.
- Steensland, B., Park, J. Z., Regnerus, M. D., Robinson, L. D., Wilcox, W. B., & Woodberry, R. D. (2000). The measure of American religion: Toward improving the state of the art. *Social Forces*, 79(1), 291–318. https://doi.org/10.2307/ 2675572
- Steinfeld, R. (2015). Wars of the wombs: Struggles over abortion policies in Israel. Israel Studies, 20(2), 1–26. https://doi.org/10.2979/israelstudies.20.2.1
- Stetson, D. M. (2001). Abortion politics, women's movements, and the democratic state: A comparative study of state feminism. Oxford University Press.
- Strickler, J., & Danigelis, N. L. (2002). Changing frameworks in attitudes toward abortion. Sociological Forum, 17(2), 187–201. https://doi.org/10.1023/a: 1016033012225

- Sundaram, A., Juarez, F., Bankole, A., & Singh, S. (2012). Factors associated with abortion-seeking and obtaining a safe abortion in Ghana. *Studies in Family Planning*, 43(4), 273–286. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1728-4465.2012.00326.x.
- Szafran, R. F., & Clagett, A. F. (1988). Variable predictors of attitudes toward the legalization of abortion. *Social Indicators Research*, 20(3), 271–290. https://doi. org/10.1007/BF00302399.
- Taylor-Robinson, M. M., & Heath, R. M. (2003). Do women legislators have different policy priorities than their male colleagues? A critical case test. *Journal of Women, Politics and Policy*, 24(4), 77–101. https://doi.org/10.1080/1554477x. 2003.9971004
- Tenkorang, E. Y. (2012). Negotiating safer sex among married women in Ghana. Archives of Sexual Behavior, 41(6), 1353–1362. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10508-012-9960-4
- Tripp, A. M. (2006). Why so slow? The challenges of gendering comparative politics. *Politics and Gender*, 2(02), 249–263. https://doi.org/10.1017/ s1743923x06241047
- Wang, G. Z., & Buffalo, M. D. (2004). Social and cultural determinants of attitudes toward abortion: A test of reiss' hypotheses. *The Social Science Journal*, 41(1), 93–105. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soscij.2003.10.008
- Wängnerud, L. (2009). Women in parliaments: Descriptive and substantive representation. Annual Review of Political Science, 12(1), 51–69. https://doi.org/10. 1146/annurev.polisci.11.053106.123839
- Warriner, I. K. (2006). Unsafe abortion: An overview of priorities and needs. In K. InaWarriner, & I. H. Shah (Eds.), *Preventing unsafe abortion and its consequences: Priorities for research and action* (pp. 1–14). Guttmacher Institute.
- Westfall, J. M., Kallail, K. J., & Walling, A. D. (1991). Abortion attitudes and practices of family and general practice physicians. *Journal of Family Practice*, 33(1), 47–51.
- Westoff, C. F. (2010). *Desired number of children: 2000-2008*. DHS comparative reports
- Wilcox, C. (2000). Premillennialists at the millennium: Some reflections on the christian right in the twenty-first century. *Sociology of Religion*, 55(3), 243–339. https://doi.org/10.2307/3712052
- Wolff, B., Blanc, A. K., & Gage, A. J. (2000). Who decides? Women's status and negotiation of sex in Uganda. *Culture, Health and Sexuality*, 2(3), 303–322. https://doi.org/10.1080/136910500422278
- Wright, L. S., & Rogers, R. R. (1987). Variables related to pro-choice attitudes among undergraduates. *Adolescence*, 22(87), 517–524.

#### **Author Biographies**

**Leonardo Arriola** is Professor of Political Science at the University of California, Berkeley, and Associated Senior Researcher at the Chr. Michelsen

Institute, Norway. His research focuses on the challenges associated with representation and governance in multiethnic societies.

**Donghyun Danny Choi** is an Assistant Professor of Political Science at Brown University. His research focuses on representation, party politics, and identity politics in diverse societies.

**Justine Davis** is an assistant professor jointly appointed in the departments of political science and Afroamerican and African Studies at the University of Michigan. Her research examines the challenges of democratization efforts in postconflict settings and weakly institutionalized democracies in Sub-Saharan Africa.

**Melanie L. Phillips** is a Lecturer and Visiting Researcher in the Political Science department at the University of California, Berkeley. Her work aims to understand the barriers women confront when pursuing power and how women's political representation is shaped by the interaction between the rules of formal institutions and the norms associated with gender roles.

Lise Rakner is Professor of political science at the Department of Government, University of Bergen. Her research interests cover the fields of democratization and autocratization, with particular emphasis on human rights, electoral politics, political parties and processes of democratic backsliding.